

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/517,544 | | 06/09/2005 | Yoshihide Hayashizaki | 035576/285978 | 9999 | |
| 826 | 7590 | 07/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ALSTON & | BIRD I | LLP | BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE | | | |
| BANK OF A | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | STREET, SUITE 400 | AKTOMI | FAFER NUMBER | | |
| CHARLOTT | TE, NC 2 | 28280-4000 | 1637 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/517,544 | HAYASHIZAKI E | T AL. | | | | | |
| | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | | Angela Bertagna | 1637 | | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appea | ars on the cover sheet | with the correspondence a | ddress | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. latutory period will will, by statute, ca | E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) N ause the application to become | NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of aBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗀 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7)[| Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | 8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) <u> </u> | ted or b) objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | | |
| a)(| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o | | | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT | ГО-152) | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 1 10/35/00) | 6) Other: | | • | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/517,544

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-33 and 52-58, drawn to methods of preparing a DNA fragment corresponding to a nucleotide sequence of a 5'end region of an mRNA.

Group II, claim(s) 34-36, drawn to a concatemer and a vector.

Group III, claim(s) 37-39, 41-42, and 45, drawn to methods of obtaining expression data and identifying transcribed regions.

Group IV, claim(s) 40, drawn to a method of building a database.

Group V, claims 43-44, drawn to a cloning method.

Group VI, claims 46 and 47, drawn to a method of altering the expression of a gene.

Group VII, claims 48-51, drawn to methods of nucleic acid synthesis.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The prior art of Kinzler et al. (USPN 5,695,937) teaches a method for preparing a DNA fragment corresponding to the nucleotide sequence of a 5'-end region of an mRNA (column 4, line 1 – column 5, line 11). The method of Kinzler comprises the following steps: (a) preparing a nucleic acid corresponding the nucleotide sequence of the 5'-end of an mRNA (column 4, lines 1-5); (b) attaching at least one linker to the nucleic acid (column 4, lines 14-15); (c) cleaving the nucleic acid with a restriction enzyme having its recognition site within the linker and its cleavage site within the nucleic acid (column 5, lines 45-60 teach cleavage with "tagging enzymes" which may be Type IIS restriction enzymes that cleave outside of the recognition site); (d) collecting a fragment corresponding to the 5'end of the mRNA (column 5, lines 1-11 teach that either the 5' or 3' region may be captured). Since the prior art of anticipates the instant claim 1, the claims lack a special

Art Unit: 1637

technical feature linking them over the prior art and therefore, a lack of unity requirement is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1637

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is (571) 272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Angela Bertagna Patent Examiner Art Unit 1637

amb

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER (\langle_17)\u00e4\u00b4